distribution is uniform in the suspension. We are presently examining a number of association processes using various colloidal particles.
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## Presumably Planar Derivatives of Tribenzo[a,c,e]cyclooctene: Synthesis of 10,11-Methano-1H-benzo[5,6]cycloocta[1,2,3,4-def]-fluorene-1,14-dione and 1,1,14,14-Tetramethyl-10,11-methano-1 H -benzo[5,6]cycloocta $[1,2,3,4$-def $]$ fluorene ${ }^{1}$
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The intriguing properties exhibited by planar cyclooctatetraenes embedded in polycyclic frameworks have recently attracted considerable attention. Consequently, presumably coplanar derivatives of dibenzo [a,c]cyclooctene fused with carbocycles or heterocycles, i.e., cycloocta $[$ def $]$ biphenylene, ${ }^{3}$ cycloocta $[d e f]$ fluorene, ${ }^{4}$ and cycloocta[def]carbazole, ${ }^{5}$ as well as tetraphenylene derivatives fused with cyclopentanoids ${ }^{6}$ and furans ${ }^{7}$ have been synthesized. Their properties have also been studied. On the other hand, no attention has been given to the synthesis of coplanar derivatives of tribenzo $[a, c, e]$ cyclooctene. The reason of this might be attributed to the fact that substituted tribenzo $[a, c, e]$ cyclooctenes are not readily available.
As part of a program aimed at the synthesis of novel coplanar derivatives of tribenzo[a,c,e]cyclooctene, we have recently prepared 1,4-disubstituted tribenzo[a,c,e]cyclooctenes. ${ }^{8}$ Encouraged by these results, we set forth to apply appropriate Friedel-Crafts cyclization to these 1,4 -disubstituted tribenzo[a,c,e]cyclooctenes in the hope of synthesizing hitherto unknown coplanar derivatives

[^0]Scheme I ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ (a) KO-t-Bu,THF; (b) 2,5-dimethylfuran; (c) $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}, \mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, THF; (d) $\mathrm{NBS}, \mathrm{CCl}_{4}$; (e) $\mathrm{NaOMe}, \mathrm{MeOH}$; (f) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, 10 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{EtOAc}$; (g) $\mathrm{RuO}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{NaIO}_{4}, \mathrm{CCl}_{4}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; (h) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, 10 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}$, EtOAc.

## Scheme II


$t 2$

$t \neq$
of tribenzo[a,c,e]cyclooctene. We report here the successful synthesis of 10,11 -methano- 1 H -benzo[5,6]cycloocta[1,2,3,4-defffluorene-1,14-dione (1) and 1,1,14,14-tetramethyl-10,11-methano-1 H -benzo[5,6]cycloocta[1,2,3,4-def]fluorene (2).

$t$

$z$

Dehydrobromination of dibromide 3 with KO- $t$ - Bu in THF yielded alkyne $4^{9}$ which was allowed to undergo Diels-Alder cycloaddition with 2,5 -dimethylfuran (5). ${ }^{8}$ The adduct 1,4 endoxide 6 was isolated in $63 \%$ yield. ${ }^{8}$ Deoxygenation of 6 with low-valent titanium ${ }^{10}$ furnished 1,4 -dimethyltribenzo $[a, c, e]$ cyclooctene (7). ${ }^{8}$ Treatment of 7 with excess NBS provided $55 \%$ of dibromide $8^{8}$ together with $14 \%$ of a monobromide. ${ }^{8}$ The dibromide 8 was then converted to methyl ether 9 in $67 \%$ yield by reaction with sodium methoxide in methanol. The methyl ether 9 formed colorless crystals: ${ }^{11} \mathrm{mp} 138-139^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 3.05(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.93,4.20(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{AB}, J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.60(\mathrm{~s}$,

[^1]
## Scheme III


$2 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. Conversion of $\mathbf{9}$ to $\mathbf{1 0}$ was accomplished by hydrogenation over $10 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}$ using EtOAc as solvent. Compound $\mathbf{1 0}$ was not isolated and was oxidized directly with $\mathrm{RuO}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}{ }^{12}$ to ester 11 in $44 \%$ overall yield from 9. Hydrogenation of $12^{8}$ over $10 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}$ also yielded 11 , which formed colorless crystals ${ }^{11}$ from cyclohexane: mp $155-156^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.90(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.50(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.80-7.15(\mathrm{~m}$, $8 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. In view that only intractable and polymeric products could be obtained through direct Friedel-Crafts cyclization of the diester 12 or its corresponding diacids, due perhaps to the reactivity of the olefinic bond toward acid conditions, the ester 11 was used instead as the pivotal intermediate in order to realize the synthesis of compound 1 (Scheme I). Thus, polyphosphoric acid smoothly converted 11 to the polycyclic ketone 13 in $58 \%$ yield. Ketone 13 formed light-yellowish needles ${ }^{11}$ $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}-\mathrm{EtOH}\right): \mathrm{mp} 267-270^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.58,3.36$ (dd, AB, $J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.32-7.38$ (dd, $J=7.14,1.28 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2$ H), 7.25-7.31 (t, $J=7.14,7.14 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.68-7.72(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $7.14,1.28 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.75(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; UV (THF) $\lambda_{\text {max }} 234 \mathrm{~nm}(\epsilon$ $58900), 290(17600), 318$ (25800). Introduction of a bromo group to 13 was effected by reaction with NBS and benzoyl peroxide in $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ from which the monobromide 14 was isolated in $80 \%$ yield. The monobromide $\mathbf{1 4}$ was not purified further and was allowed to undergo dehydrobromination reaction with KO-$t$-Bu in THF to give the desired diketone 1 in $30 \%$ yield (Scheme II). Diketone 1 formed red needles ${ }^{11}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right): \mathrm{mp} 305-310^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (sealed capillary, rapid heating); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.83$ (s, 2 H ), $7.00-7.04$ (dd, $J=7.48,1.29 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.10-7.17$ (t, $J=$ $7.48,7.48 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.44-7.48$ (dd, $J=7.48,1.29 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.49 (s, 2 H ); UV (THF) $\lambda_{\max } 234 \mathrm{~nm}(\epsilon 62200), 299$ ( 33000 ), 313 ( 48600 ); IR (KBr) $1700,1595 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

Treatment of 11 with excess methyllithium led to alcohol 15. Compound 15 was not purified and was directly cyclized by treatment with concentrated $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ to furnish $\mathbf{1 6}$ in $50 \%$ overall yield from 11. Hydrocarbon 16 formed light-yellowish crystals ${ }^{11}$ (EtOH): mp 187-189 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.52(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $1.53(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.85,3.36(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{AB}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.11-7.15$ (dd, $J=7.24,1.16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.20-7.27(\mathrm{t}, J=7.24,7.24 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2 H ), $7.32-7.36$ (dd, $J=7.24,1.16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.44 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ); UV (THF) $\lambda_{\max } 232 \mathrm{~nm}(\epsilon 17100), 259(18400), 268$ (23900), 306 (29300), 319 (25000). The introduction of a bromo group to 16 was not trivial. Indeed, due to the rigidity of the molecule, the ethano bridge could not acquire coplanarity with the benzene rings. Hence the ethano bridge is particularly difficult to functionalize. ${ }^{13}$ Variable-temperature NMR studies show that the energy barrier for the free rotation of the ethano bridge is approximately $20 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ at 410 K , at which the two signals of the ethano bridge coalesce. ${ }^{14}$ After some experimentation, it was finally found that reaction with 2.2 equiv of NBS and benzoyl

[^2]peroxide in $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ at reflux temperature converted $\mathbf{1 6}$ to the monobromide 17, albeit in only very low yield. Monobromide 17 was subjected to dehydrobromination reaction with KO-t-Bu in THF to provide the desired compound 2 in merely $8 \%$ yield from 16 (Scheme III). Compound 2 formed light-yellowish needles ${ }^{11}$ (EtOH): mp 215-217 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.41$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 12 \mathrm{H}$ ), $5.91(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.80-6.86(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.45,1.46 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.00-7.10$ ( $\mathrm{t}, J=7.45,7.45 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.10-7.15$ (dd, $J=7.45,1.46 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2 H ), 7.19 (s, 2 H ); UV (THF) $\lambda_{\text {max }} 242 \mathrm{~nm}(\epsilon 15600), 279$ ( 61700 ), 307 ( 7000 ), 351 ( 10600 ), 370 ( 9600 ).

Compounds $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ are extremely stable both in crystalline and solution states. They presumably contain planar conjugated eight-membered rings. Thus, the electronic spectra of $\mathbf{1}$ and 2 indicate them to the highly conjugated systems by showing a bathochromic shift as well as a hyperchromic effect, which reflect a certain degree of $\pi$ electron delocalization due to their coplanar geometry. The presence of a coplanar conjugated $4 n$-membered ring in $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ should be reflected in a paratropic contribution to the ring currents. The high field positions of the olefinic proton resonances in the ${ }^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of $1(\delta 5.83)$ and $2(\delta 5.91)$ as compared to those of $\mathbf{1 2}(\delta 6.90)^{8}$ and $7(\delta 6.60)^{8}$ convincingly support the presence of such a contribution. It is interesting to note that even the aromatic proton resonances of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ experience high field shifts as compared to their nonplanar counterparts 13 and 16. Furthermore, appearance of only one sharp singlet for the four methyl groups in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 2 also leads us to the conclusion that compound 2 should possess a coplanar structure so that all methyl groups are equivalent.

The X-ray diffraction study of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ is in progress. The radical anion of $\mathbf{2}$ serves as a unique planar model for ESR study as compared to other presumably nonplanar radical anions of tribenzo $[a, c, e]$ cyclooctene derivatives. ${ }^{15}$ The possible conversion of the olefinic bonds of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ to acetylenic bonds is also under investigation.
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Precipitation of metastable polymorphic crystalline phases is of topical importance in several fields of science. In previous studies we have described the design of low molecular ${ }^{1}$ and polymeric additives ${ }^{2}$ as enantioselective inhibitors of crystal nucleation and growth of conglomerates (i.e., racemic mixture of enantiomorphous crystals in monomorphic systems). The design took into account the packing arrangement in the crystal and the orientation and conformation of the molecules vis-à-vis the various
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